

CITIZEN POWER

Public Policy Research Education and Advocacy

September 3, 2014


Rosemary Chiavetta, Secretary
Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission
Commonwealth Keystone Building
400 North Street, 2nd Floor North
P.O. Box 3265
Harrisburg, PA 17105-3265

**Re: Implementation of the Alternative Energy Portfolio Standards Act of 2004
Docket L-2014-2404361**

Dear Secretary Chiavetta:

Enclosed please find Citizen Power's Comments, in the above referenced proceeding.

Sincerely,



Theodore Robinson
Counsel for Citizen Power

II. COMMENTS

A. General Provisions: § 75.1 Definitions

Citizen Power believes that the proposed definition for the term “utility” is unnecessarily broad. It appears that the intent of the Commission in their addition of the definition of the word “utility” is to exclude merchant generators from taking advantage of the benefits enjoyed by customer-generators. Specifically, since customer-generators are required to be nonutilities, the categorization of merchant generators as utilities prevents them from masquerading as customer-generators. Unfortunately, the net cast by the proposed definition of a “utility” is too broad and includes many individuals and entities that should properly be identified as nonutilities. For example, under a strict reading of the proposed definition of “utility”, a landlord that provides electricity to their tenants might be considered a utility. More concerning to the development of small scale renewable generation, it is unclear under the proposed definition if the common practice of using a power purchase agreement would erroneously label a participating person or entity a utility.

B. Net Metering: § 75.12 Definitions and §75.14 Meters and metering


Citizen Power disagrees with the proposed modification of the definition of “virtual meter aggregation” that requires that each location must have measurable electric load, independent of the alternative energy system, in order to be aggregated. We also disagree with the corresponding requirement added to §75.14 that all properties to be aggregated must have an independent and measureable load. This additional condition is inefficient because a customer-generator would either have to site their generation next to existing load, even if the location is not optimal for maximizing output, or they would have to move load next to the generation, even if the location of the load is not ideal. In addition to the inherent inefficiency of the proposed

modifications, the amended AEPS Act does not allow for such a restriction. It unambiguously states that “aggregation on properties owned or leased and operated by a customer-generator and located within two miles of the boundaries of the customer-generator’s property and within a single electric distribution company’s service territory shall be eligible for net metering.”

C. §75.13 General Provisions- 110% size limit

Citizen Power supports the comments of the advocates for robust and appropriate net metering regulations in Pennsylvania, submitted on August 28, 2014, regarding the 110% new system size limit. As a topic of specific interest to us, we believe that the final rules must be crafted in a way that does not create ongoing questions regarding the ability of residential customer-generators to net meter. This is especially important for residential customers because it is likely that the property may change ownership several times over the life of the renewable generation system.

Respectfully Submitted,

By: 
Theodore S. Robinson (PA Bar # 203852)
Citizen Power
2121 Murray Avenue
Pittsburgh, PA 15217
(412) 421-7029 (phone)
(412) 412-6162 (fax)
E-mail: robinson@citizenpower.com

Dated: September 3, 2014